

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/727,485 12/05/2003		Karel Hajmrle	T8-467813US	2078		
75	90 07/28/2006	EXAMINER				
Arne I. Fors		LANG, AMY T				
Gowling Lafleu Suite 4900	r Henderson LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Commerce Court West			1714	1714		
Toronto, ON M5L 1J3 CANADA			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

//

				<u> </u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/727,485	HAJMRLE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Amy T. Lang	1714	
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence addres	s
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte	FROM THE MAILING D under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period nded period for reply will, by statut r than three months after the mailing	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f te, cause the application to become ABANDO ng date of this communication, even if timely	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this community DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application	is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance	with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are p	• , ,			
4a) Of the above clair 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are	n(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are r			•	
7) Claim(s) is/are				
8) Claim(s) are s	<u>-</u>	or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is ob	piected to by the Examin	er		
	•	cepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		drawing(s) be held in abeyance.		
Replacement drawing s	heet(s) including the correc	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration	n is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is m a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c	•	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119)(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies	of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies	of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applic	cation No	
		ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stag	je
, ,	n the International Burea	, , , ,		
- See the attached detail	ed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	IVed.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC	0-892)	4) Interview Summ		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I Normation Disclosure Statement		Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152))
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/08/200</u>		6) Other:		'

Application/Control Number: 10/727,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 5, lines 17-18 recite the phrase "Inorganic binders are very stable at high temperatures and, for the that reason, more suitable for thermal spray applications." However, it is the examiner's position that the correct phrase should recite 'or that reason, are more suitable.'

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heath (US 3,051,586) in view of Dorfman (US 5,122,182).

US '586 discloses a lubricant in the form of a coating or film applied by spraying (column 1, lines 10-13; column 3, lines 1-14). The lubricant is comprised of organic or inorganic binders and solid lubricants including graphite, molybdenum disulfide, boron nitride, and tungsten disulfide (column 1, lines 16-20; column 3, lines 18-33). The total amount of solid lubricant particles in the composition is disclosed as 80 parts by wt (Example 2, column 5). The total amount of resin binder is disclosed as 101.2 parts by wt (Example 2, column 5). Therefore the ratio of solid lubricant particles to binder is 0.79 (=80/101.2), which clearly overlaps the instant range of 19:1 to 1:19. Since US '586 discloses the use of either an organic or inorganic binder, and furthermore since the reference does not constrain the amount of inorganic binder to any particular value, it would have been obvious to use an inorganic binder in the same amount as the organic resin binder absent evidence to the contrary.

By adding 80 parts by wt of solid lubricant in a mixture comprised of a total of 1034.3 parts by wt, the amount of solid lubricant in the total mixture is 7.73% (Example 2, column 5). Therefore, the composition contains from 5 to 60 wt% solids.

The inorganic binder as disclosed by US '586 would inherently be non-dispersible in the aqueous mixture of the original liquid. Furthermore, US '586 discloses the addition of other components to the composition including a wetting agent and zinc chromate powder, where either one would act as a filler (Example 2, column 5). These components are also added in amounts less than 40 volume% of the solids, which clearly overlaps the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,485

Art Unit: 1714

Page 4

US '586 discloses, in the method to produce the lubricant composition, the addition of water to the binder and solid lubricant mixture (column 3, lines 11-14). The mixture is then milled to a desired particle size cut.

US '586 is silent as to the specific method to mill the mixture.

US '182 also discloses a composition utilized for spraying a coating (column 1, lines 10-14). The composition is also comprised of a mixture of a binder, solid lubricants including molybdenum disulfide, graphite, and calcium fluoride, and water (column 1, lines 55-57; column 2, lines 33-36; column 6, lines 4-8, 26-27). The mixture is milled in a conventional process disclosed by first forming an aqueous slurry and then drying droplets to produce particles. The particle agglomerates are then classified to obtain a desired size (column 3, line 48 through column 4, line 16). This process would inherently produce an undersize and oversize particle fraction, where both are dispersed in the original liquid. US '182 discloses that the above process is useful and conventional (column 3, lines 48-58). In view of that, it would therefore have been obvious to utilize this same process in US '586.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heath (US 3,051,586) in view of Dorfman (US 5,122,182) and Dorfman (US 5,506,055).

US '586 in view of US '182 as discussed in paragraph 4 are incorporated here by reference, disclose a method to produce a spraying composition comprised of a mixture of a binder, solid lubricant including boron nitride, and water.

US '586 does not specifically disclose the solid lubricant as hexagonal boron nitride.

US '055 also discloses a spray composition comprised of solid lubricants, including boron nitride (column 2, lines 40-42; column 3, lines 11-12). The boron nitride is further disclosed as hexagonal boron nitride and the produced spray composition is shown to provide improved abradability while still maintaining erosion resistance (column 2, lines 42-45; column 3, lines 11-20). In view of the advantage provided by the solid lubricant hexagonal boron nitride, it therefore would have been obvious for to also utilize hexagonal boron nitride as the solid lubricant in US '586.

6. Claims 7, 8, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heath (US 3,051,586) in view of Dorfman (US 5,122,182), Dorfman (US 5,506,055), and Lum (US 5,468,401).

US '586 in view of US '182 and US '055 as discussed in paragraphs 4 and 5 are incorporated here by reference, disclose a method to produce a spraying composition comprised of a mixture of a binder, solid lubricant, and water.

US '586 does not specifically disclose the inorganic binder as bentonite.

US '401 discloses a lubricating composition that is utilized as a spray composition (column 1, lines 14-16, 58-59). The composition is comprised of solid lubricants and binders, specifically bentonite (column 7, lines 36-39; column 19, line 65 through column 20, line 6). The binder effectively adheres the agglomerated lubricant particles and would inherently be stabilized above 850 degrees Celsius (column 19,

lines 65-67). In view of the advantage provided by the use of bentonite binder, it therefore would have been obvious to use bentonite as the inorganic binder in US '586.

7. Claims 9-12, 14-16, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 4,039,337) in view of Dorfman (US 5,506,055).

US '337 discloses a lubricating coating composition comprised of an aqueous mixture of solid lubricants including graphite, boron nitride, and tungsten disulfide and a silicate binder (column 2, lines 45-65). The amount of solid lubricant is disclosed from 5 to 70 wt%, while the binder is disclosed from 10 to 40 wt% (column 3, lines 16-19, 35-38). Therefore, the ratio of solid lubricant to binder clearly overlaps the instantly claimed range of 19:1 to 1:19. The total amount of solid lubricant in the composition is disclosed as 25.4 wt%, so that 5 to 60 wt% solids are present in the composition (Example 1, column 5).

US '337 is silent as to the method for producing the mixture wherein agglomerates are formed with a rounded shape.

US '055 also discloses a coating composition comprised of a mixture of solid lubricants and binder (column 2, lines 40-54; column 3, lines 24-25). The composition is produced by conventional methods that include stirring the mixture to produce a slurry and then drying the composition until agglomerates are formed (column 3, lines 20-39). Noting that the claimed limitation of a rounded shape is broad enough that it would be met by shapes that are generally round and are not necessarily required to be perfectly

Application/Control Number: 10/727,485

Art Unit: 1714

spherical, it is the examiner's position that, absent special process conditions, the above conventional method would intrinsically produce generally rounded agglomerates.

Page 7

The method disclosed by US '055 teaches blending a mixture of fine powder constituents (column 3, lines 25-26). Therefore, the particles were inherently sized to a desired size and an oversized and undersized fraction would be produced. These fractions would have then been blended, as taught by US '055, and would inherently be non-dispersible in the original liquid.

US '337 is also silent as to the use of hexagonal boron nitride for the solid lubricant.

US '055 also discloses the use of boron nitride in the coating composition as the solid lubricant (column 2, lines 40-42; column 3, lines 11-12). The boron nitride is further disclosed as hexagonal boron nitride and the produced composition is shown to provide improved abradability while still maintaining erosion resistance (column 2, lines 42-45; column 3, lines 11-20). In view of the advantage provided by the use of hexagonal boron nitride, it therefore would have been obvious to use hexagonal boron nitride as the solid lubricant in US '337.

8. Claims 13, 17-19, 21, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 4,039,337) in view of Dorfman (US 5,506,055) and Lum (US 5,468,401).

US '337 in view of US 055, as discussed in paragraph 7 are incorporated here by reference, disclose a method to produce a composition comprised of an aqueous mixture of a binder and solid lubricant.

Furthermore, US '337 discloses additional components in the composition including thixotropic agents, which inherently act as fillers (column 4, lines 57-59).

These fillers are in an amount less than 40 volume% of the solid lubricants (Example 1, column 5).

US '337 does not specifically disclose the use of bentonite as the binder.

US '401 also discloses a lubricating composition comprised of solid lubricants and binders, specifically bentonite (column 1, lines 14-16, 58-59, column 7, lines 36-39; column 19, line 65 through column 20, line 6). The binder effectively adheres the agglomerated lubricant particles and would inherently be stabilized above 850 degrees Celsius (column 19, lines 65-67). In view of the advantage provided by the use of bentonite binder, it therefore would have been obvious to use bentonite as the inorganic binder in US '337.

9. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heath (US 3,051,586) in view of Dorfman (US 5,122,182) and Reidmeyer (US 6,432,886 B1).

US '586 in view of US '182, as discussed in paragraph 4 are incorporated here by reference, disclose a method to produce a spraying composition comprised of a mixture of a binder, solid lubricant, and water.

US '586 does not specifically disclose the binder as sodium silicate.

Page 9

US '886 also discloses a lubricating composition comprised of solid lubricants, including graphite, and a binder (column 1, lines 7-11; column 4, line 61). The solid lubricants are also in an agglomerated form and the binder is further disclosed as sodium silicate (column 2, lines 38-41; column 5, lines 7-9). This disclosed composition produces a stable and consistent lubricant due to its structural integrity (column 5, line 62 through column 6, line 5). In view of the advantage provided by the use of sodium silicate binder, it therefore would have been obvious to use sodium silicate as the inorganic binder in US '586.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy T. Lang whose telephone number is 571-272-9057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/727,485 Page 10

Art Unit: 1714

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/21/2006 Amy T. Lang

VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700